

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/901,571	07/11/2001	Oliver Klein	4009-2	4817	
75	90 05/03/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
NIXON & VANDERHYE P.C.			BARNIE, RI	BARNIE, REXFORD N	
8th Floor 1100 North Glebe Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Arlington, VA 22201			2643	e 1	
		•	DATE MAILED: 05/03/2004	· •	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summany	09/901,571	KLEIN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	REXFORD N BARNIE	2643			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed  lys will be considered timely.  In the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Ju</li> <li>2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This</li> <li>3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ul>	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pr				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-51 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-51 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ion is required if the drawing(s) is ol	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receiv	ed in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the Attachment(s)	of the certified copies not receiv	REXFORD BARNIE PRIMARY EXAMINER			
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D				
Notice of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4-5.		Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/901,571

Art Unit: 2643

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 99/57819 (cited by applicant) in view of EP 0853389 A2 (cited by applicant).

Regarding claim 1, WO '819 teaches a search window delay tracking in code division multiple access (CDA) communication systems comprising;

estimating a channel impulse response (CIR) for the received signal containing plural paths, each path having a corresponding path delay;

determining a delay error between the mean CIR delay and a desired delay position in (see claims of WO '819 and figs. 1-13). Furthermore, an adjust signal can be

Application/Control Number: 09/901,571

Art Unit: 2643

applied to reduce error in (see page 4 lines 15-16). Furthermore, the movement of the station can be a factor in the adjustment of errors in (see page 3 lines 54-6). According to the applicant, Doppler effect is impart determined by the movement of a mobile station, however for the sake of argument, the examiner has applied a secondary reference EP '389 which teaches a rake receiver which applies doppler effect adjustment to reduce fading or noise interference as prior art in (see page 1).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of EP '389 into that of WO '819 thus making it possible to reduce noise and enhance signal intelligibility.

Regarding claims 2-13, see the explanation as set forth regarding claim in addition to disclosure of WO '819. Furthermore, the combination teaches the possibility of applying doppler effect adjust to enhance signal intelligibility.

Regarding claim 14, WO' 819 teaches in (see disclosure) a radio receiver receiving from each of plural cells, a signal transmitted from a transmitter containing plural paths, a method comprising of estimating a channel impulse response (CIR) for the received signal using a channel estimator; defining an associated search window for each channel estimator, where each search window defines a delay profile containing plural paths, selecting optimal ones of the plural paths, calculating a delay error, mean delay and so forth

Furthermore, an adjust signal can be applied to reduce error in (see page 4 lines 15-16). Furthermore, the movement of the station can be a factor in the adjustment of errors in (see page 3 lines 54-6). According to the applicant, Doppler effect is impart

Art Unit: 2643

determined by the movement of a mobile station, however for the sake of argument, the examiner has applied a secondary reference EP '389 which teaches a rake receiver which applies doppler effect adjustment to reduce fading or noise interference as prior art in (see page 1).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of EP '389 into that of WO '819 thus making it possible to reduce noise and enhance signal intelligibility.

Regarding claims 15-24, see the explanation as set forth regarding claim in addition to disclosure of WO '819. Furthermore, the combination teaches the possibility of applying doppler effect adjust to enhance signal intelligibility.

Regarding claim 25, WO' 819 teaches a search window tracking unit for use in a radio receiver receiving a transmitted signal having plural paths comprising a processor to receive delay and magnitude values associated with different paths and determine position of the channel impulse response of the different paths and a controller configured to determine position of a search window used to locate the channel impulse response in (see figs., claims and disclosure).

Furthermore, an adjust signal can be applied to reduce error in (see page 4 lines 15-16). Furthermore, the movement of the station can be a factor in the adjustment of errors in (see page 3 lines 54-6). According to the applicant, Doppler effect is impart determined by the movement of a mobile station, however for the sake of argument, the examiner has applied a secondary reference EP '389 which teaches a rake receiver

Application/Control Number: 09/901,571

Art Unit: 2643

which applies doppler effect adjustment to reduce fading or noise interference as prior art in (see page 1).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of EP '389 into that of WO '819 thus making it possible to reduce noise and enhance signal intelligibility.

Regarding claims 26-38, see the explanation as set forth regarding claim in addition to disclosure of WO '819. Furthermore, the combination teaches the possibility of applying doppler effect adjust to enhance signal intelligibility.

Regarding claim 39, WO '819 teaches a radio base station in (see figs) comprising the claimed limitations in (see claim 34).

Furthermore, an adjust signal can be applied to reduce error in (see page 4 lines 15-16). Furthermore, the movement of the station can be a factor in the adjustment of errors in (see page 3 lines 54-6). According to the applicant, Doppler effect is impart determined by the movement of a mobile station, however for the sake of argument, the examiner has applied a secondary reference EP '389 which teaches a rake receiver which applies doppler effect adjustment to reduce fading or noise interference as prior art in (see page 1).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of EP '389 into that of WO '819 thus making it possible to reduce noise and enhance signal intelligibility.

Regarding claims 40-51, see the explanation as set forth regarding claim in addition to disclosure of WO '819. Furthermore, the combination teaches the possibility of applying doppler effect adjust to enhance signal intelligibility.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **REXFORD N BARNIE** whose telephone number is (703)306-2744. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, CURTIS KUNTZ can be reached on (703) 305-4708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PRIMARY EXAMINER REXFORD BARNIE 04/27/04

REXFORD BARNIE PRIMARY EXAMINER